The Council on Academic Accreditation in Audiology and Speech-Language Pathology took the following accreditation action at its March 15-17, 2023 meeting, as indicated below.

Name of Program: Albizu University, Miami Campus

File #: 372

Professional Area:
- [ ] Audiology
- [X] Speech-Language Pathology

Modality:
- [X] Residential
- [X] Distance Education
- [ ] Satellite Campus
- [ ] Contractual Arrangement

Degree Designator(s): MS

Current Accreditation Cycle: N/A

Action Taken: Award Initial Accreditation, Approve Distance Education Modality

Effective Date: March 17, 2023

New Accreditation Cycle: 3/1/2023 – 2/29/2028

Next Review: Annual Report due February 1, 2024

Notices: The program is advised to adhere to the following notices that are appended to this report.

- PROGRAM COMPLIANCE EXPECTATIONS
- PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF DECISION AND ACCREDITATION STATUS
In the context of the institutional and program mission statements and in consideration of the credentials for which the program is preparing students, the CAA conducted its comprehensive review and found the program to be in compliance with the Standards for Accreditation of Graduate Education Programs in Audiology and Speech-Language Pathology, except as noted below.

**AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE**

The CAA found the program to be not in compliance with the following Standards for Accreditation. Non-compliance means that the program does not have in place the essential elements necessary to meet the standard. The program must demonstrate its compliance with these standards when responding to prior concerns in the next annual report or reaccreditation application or by the time line specified below. The CAA will indicate in its review of that report whether the program has addressed these areas sufficiently to achieve compliance. **Failure to demonstrate compliance with the standards may jeopardize the program’s accreditation status or require the CAA to place the program on probation. A program will be placed on probation or accreditation withdrawn after the review of a second consecutive report reveals that issues continue for the same standard(s) and the program remains not in full compliance with all standards (effective January 1, 2021- see CAA Accreditation Handbook, Chapter XVII).**

**Standard 2.1** The number and composition of the program faculty (academic doctoral, clinical doctoral, other) are sufficient to deliver a program of study that:

- 2.1.1 allows students to acquire the knowledge and skills required in Standard 3,
- 2.1.2 allows students to acquire the scientific and research fundamentals of the discipline,
- 2.1.3 allows students to meet the program’s established goals and objectives, 2.1.4 meets the expectations set forth in the program’s mission and goals,
- 2.1.5 is offered on a regular basis so that it will allow the students to complete the program within the published time frame.

**Requirement for Review:**

- The program must document:
  - how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to acquire the knowledge and skills required in Standard 3;
  - how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to acquire the scientific and research fundamentals of the profession;
  - how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to meet the program’s established learning goals and objectives;
  - how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to meet the expectations set forth in the program’s mission and goals;

**Evidence of Non-Compliance:**

The CAA requires that the program document how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to acquire the knowledge and skills required in Standard 3; how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to acquire the scientific and research fundamentals of the profession; how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to meet the program’s established learning goals and
objectives; and how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to meet the expectations set forth in the program’s mission and goals. The site visit team was unable to verify these requirements for review for the distance education modality of the program. The program plans to utilize the same faculty for the residential, distance education, and hybrid tracks of the program, and there is concern that there are not sufficient faculty members to deliver the program of study in this way.

In response to the site visit report, the program reported that there is an open, unfilled faculty line and has requested two additional faculty lines to support the distance education curriculum. However, there may still be a need to share faculty across modalities if these positions are not filled before the fall 2023 commencement of the distance education modality.

**Steps to Be Taken:**
At the time of the next annual report, the program must demonstrate how the faculty composition is sufficient to allow students to acquire the knowledge and skills required in Standard 3, the scientific and research fundamentals of the profession, to allow students to meet the program’s established learning goals and objectives, and to allow students to meet the expectations set forth in the program’s mission and goals. The program must provide an update on the three open faculty lines that will support the distance education modality, and documentation on the faculty composition for all modalities once the distance education begins.

**Standard 2.2  The number, composition, and workload of all full-time faculty who have responsibility in the graduate program are sufficient to allow them to meet expectations with regard to teaching, research, and service of the sponsoring institution.**

**Requirement for Review:**
- The program must demonstrate that all faculty who have responsibility in the graduate program and have obligations to provide teaching, research, and service as part of their workload:
  - Are accessible to students
  - Have sufficient time for scholarly and creative activities,
  - Have sufficient time to advise students,
  - Have sufficient time to participate in faculty governance,
  - Have sufficient time to participate in other activities that are consistent with the expectations of the sponsoring institution.

**Evidence of Non-Compliance:**
The CAA requires that the program demonstrate that all faculty who have responsibility in the graduate program and have obligations to provide teaching, research, and service as part of their workload are accessible to students, have sufficient time for scholarly and creative activities, have sufficient time to advise students, have sufficient time to participate in faculty governance, and have sufficient time to participate in other activities that are consistent with the expectations of the sponsoring institution. The site visit team was unable to verify these requirements for review for the distance education modality of the program. There are currently 25 students admitted to each cohort, and the distance education modality plans to admit approximately 10 students in the fall 2023 semester and another 10 students in the spring 2024 semester. Documentation was not provided as to how current faculty would add
additional students to their workload, and still meet institutional obligations of scholarly activities and responsibilities. In response to the site visit report, the program noted that currently, faculty member schedules allow for enough time to engage in scholarly activities and responsibilities. Faculty members are required to submit their schedules to the program director for approval and to ensure that sufficient time is available to meet and support students. Faculty members whose time is dedicated to the distance education modality will be expected to do the same. However, the CAA was unable to verify how current faculty members will have sufficient time to complete these activities if additional responsibilities are added to their workload.

Steps to Be Taken:
At the time of the next annual report, the program must demonstrate that all faculty who have responsibility in the graduate program, and have obligations to provide teaching, research, and service as part of their workload are accessible to students, have sufficient time for scholarly and creative activities, student advising, participation in faculty governance, and participation in other activities that are consistent with the expectations of the institution. The program must provide documentation that demonstrates that faculty who have responsibilities in any of the modalities offered have sufficient time to complete their responsibilities.

AREAS FOR FOLLOW-UP (clarification/verification)
The CAA did not find the program to be out of compliance with the following Standards for Accreditation at this time. However, the program must provide additional information or an update in the program’s next annual report or reaccreditation application for clarification or verification of these issues, in order to monitor the program’s continued compliance in the stated areas.

- There were no areas for follow-up with the Standards for Accreditation.
PERFORMANCE WITH RESPECT TO STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

_The CAA has evaluated this program regarding its performance with respect to student achievement and provides the following report, required as an accrediting agency recognized by the US Secretary of Education [34 CFR 602.17(jj)]._

Comments/Observations:

_The CAA assessed the program’s performance with respect to student achievement and found the program to meet or exceed the established CAA expectations (as described in accreditation standard 5.0-Assessment) in the following checked areas. Details regarding any of these areas found to be not in compliance are described earlier in this report in the context of the relevant standard._

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Program Completion Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Praxis Examination Rates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROGRAM COMPLIANCE EXPECTATIONS

As an accrediting agency recognized by the U.S. Secretary of Education, the CAA must comply with Criterion §602.20 [34 CFR 602.20]. This criterion requires that if an accrediting agency’s review of a program indicates that the program is not in compliance with any standard, the CAA must provide a written timeline to the program to come into compliance that is reasonable, as determined by the CAA, based on the nature of the finding, the stated mission, and educational objectives of the program. The timeline may include intermediate checkpoints on the way to full compliance and must not exceed three years for programs, regardless of professional area. If the review of a second consecutive report reveals that issues continue for the same standard(s), regardless of which requirements for review were identified, and the program remains not in full compliance with all standards, the CAA may act to place the program on probation or withdraw its accreditation status in accordance with the policy and procedures outlined in the Accreditation Handbook. The CAA may place a program on probation or withdraw accreditation from a program prior to this time when there is clear evidence of circumstances that jeopardize the capability of the program to provide acceptable educational experiences for the students.

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF THIS DECISION AND ACCREDITATION STATUS

The CAA publishes a notice of final accreditation actions on its website after comprehensive reviews are completed in accordance with its published policies. In the event an adverse action is taken and becomes final (i.e., withdrawal or withholding of an accreditation status), the CAA is required to publish a brief statement summarizing the reasons for withholding or withdrawing the accreditation status of a program, together with the comments, if any, that the affected program may wish to make.

The Criteria for Recognition by the U.S. Secretary of Education requires all recognized accrediting agencies to provide for the public correction of incorrect or misleading information an accredited or preaccredited program releases about accreditation or preaccreditation status, contents of site visit reports, and accrediting or preaccrediting actions with respect to the program. [34 CFR 602.23(d) and 602.23(e)] The program must make accurate public disclosure of the accreditation or preaccreditation status awarded to the program, using the language provided in the Accreditation Handbook (see Chapter XII Informing the
Public) on the academic accreditation website. If the program chooses to disclose any additional information within the scope of the ED rule, such disclosure also must be accurate. Any public disclosure of information within the scope of the rule must include the CAA’s name, address, and telephone number as described in the Accreditation Handbook. If an institution or program misrepresents or distorts any action by the CAA with respect to any aspect of the accreditation process, its accreditation status, the contents of the site visit report, or final CAA accreditation actions or decisions, the CAA will inform the chief executive officer of the institution and the program director that corrective action must be taken. If corrective action is not taken, the CAA will release a public statement that provides correct information and may invoke other sanctions as may be appropriate.